

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, 11 March 2020 12:19 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]

From: [REDACTED] On Behalf Of DPE PS ePlanning Exhibitions Mailbox
Sent: Monday, 9 March 2020 10:38 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 9 March 2020 7:23 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Webform submission from: [webform_submission:source-title]

Submitted on Mon, 09/03/2020 - 07:22
Submitted by: Anonymous
Submitted values are:
Submission Type:I am making a personal submission
First Name: Rosemary
Last Name: Massa
Name Withheld: No
Email: [REDACTED]
Suburb/Town & Postcode: Horningsea Park
Submission file:
[submission-rossmore---rosemary-massa-2020-final.pdf](#)

Submission: My submission is in the file above.

URL: <https://pp.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/draftplans/exhibition/western-sydney-aerotropolis-planning-package>

Friday, 28 February 2020

The Director,
Aerotropolis Activation
Department of Planning
RE:- South Creek Precinct and Proposed Re-Zoning

I Rosemary Massa, am writing to you regarding the troubling news I have heard since the release of the initial Aerotropolis Core Re-zoning plans, draft 2 in December 2019. I am worried for the future of my father, [REDACTED] property at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] **Rossmore**, with majority of the property being deemed **Environment and Recreation** in the Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan (WSAP) draft, as part of the initial Wianamatta-South Creek Precinct (WSCP). My father as a result of this proposal, the lack of exact details of what will become of his land and other issues that will result, such as rates, has been emotionally and mentally affected from the stress surrounding this proposal which has seen his health be affected. As his daughter this worries me greatly.

My father has lived in the greater Liverpool area since immigrating to Australia from Gibellina, Sicily in February 1960. He and my mother before she passed worked tirelessly to provide a better life for their family using the land they purchased at **71 King St Rossmore** in 1970. Together they planted vegetables while raising two children, saving up for a better life making many sacrifices, with long days consisting of selling the vegetables they grew in the Sydney Markets at 3am, before my father would start work in a factory at Auburn at 7am. They did this for 7 days a week, for many years.

It is due to the history my father, my family and myself have had with this property that I am deeply concerned with the proposed zoning of the 1:100 land on the property as **Environment and Recreation**. The section highlighted by the WSAP Draft 2019, is majority of the property, making it essentially 'dead' land as my father cannot make any changes to his property when the plans are finalised mid-year. It is unfair to punish private owners such as my father, who has owned and used the land successfully without any issues of flooding. Even to this day there has been no issues of flooding even after the recent downpour earlier in the month, with the glasshouses being continuously used by tenants at the back of the property, without

Rosemary Massa

[REDACTED] Rossmore, 2557 [REDACTED]

NB:- Please DO NOT PUBLISE MY PERSONAL CONTACT DETAILS.

being inhibited. Any rezoning of such land should compensated and acquired equally to its currently value or that of its urban neighbours not at the value post final rezoning.

After attending the numerous meetings held by the Western City and Aerotropolis Authority (WCAA) as well as the Western Sydney Aerotropolis Planning Package one-on-one consultation session at Mulgoa Hall with my father, I feel as though this inherently unjust as there has been no certainty given to owners such as my father at these meetings that the land will be acquired under Just Terms Compensation. This 'certainty' has only been given to residents Thompson's Creek which leaves private owners like my father stuck with a majority of their property under this new zoning, making it essentially unusable and unsellable to possible developers due to the restrictive nature of the zoning. If the Government does not have the funds or the intention to acquire all of the land in the initial Wianamatta-South Creek Precinct marked Environment and recreation under Just Terms then the WSCP should be scaled back dramatically to a more reasonable and affordable size until the government has the funds to compensate owners justly. Without such a measure my father and other owners will be land locked on properties that will become valueless as they continue to pay rates.

As a direct result of this attempt to change the zoning of the initial precinct, my father and I attempted to seek answers as to what would happen to the council rates for the property if the change was to go through. Throughout, the numerous encounters with WCAA members we could not get a straight answer as to the changes to rates that would occur under the new possible zoning, as majority of the land would be essentially 'dead' land that my father would be unable to make any changes too due to the strict regulations of the Environment and Recreation zoning. At the Aerotropolis Information Session at Twin Creeks we attended, we were told by WCAA members at the Twin Creeks session, that the Liverpool City Council **refused** to allow them to comment on rates of the properties affected by this change, "***not even allowed to present prepared responses***" (WCAA member at Twin Creeks), keeping owners, such as my father in the dark as they attempt to permanently diminish his land and restrict his use, while charging him exorbitant rates.

Furthermore, In the 48 years of owning the property my father, myself and my family have not experienced flooding, let alone the flooding necessary to affect the land deemed to be 1:100 in accordance with Liverpool Council. It was brought to our attention at the one-on-one consultation session at Mulgoa Hall that this WSAP draft was based off of a 16 year old studies conducted by Liverpool Council in 2004, without the WCAA performing actual studies in the WSCP prior to attempting to rezone the WSCP by mid 2020.

The WCAA members at that consultation told my father and I, that **“more studies need to be done”**, but given the limited time set by the Authority’s deadline, this seems extremely unlikely. To actively attempt such a massive scale, 40-50 year plan without proper studies and surveys of the topology of the land, while releasing drafts, is highly negligent and suggests the government may have ulterior motives to turn for properties such as my father’s into devalued parkland, only to create a massive business and industrial hub like what has occurred with the recent **Bringelly Road Business Hub**. This situation seems eerily similar to the actions taken by Liverpool Council and the Western Sydney Parklands Trust, to acquire cheap parkland, in order to sell it off this to developers, hurting the private owners that once owned this land.

I do not oppose the change but do submit that our land is zoned as **developable land**. I propose that the proposed lines that cut my father’s property be pushed back, actively supporting a **15% floodline** and submit the flood lines be drawn back **85%**, as a result of my father’s land never flooding in his long history of owning it, even with the lack of upgrades to Kemps Creek. I note Oran Park was once flood land yet this same land is now medium to high density residential land with a major shopping centre. Likewise, my house as well as the whole street, Packard Place in Horningsea Park, was similarly within the 1:100 zone, many years after my house had been completed and my family and I had been living there, yet it was developed and raised not to flood causing Liverpool Council to remove it from the 1:100 zone. These are only to name a couple of surrounding suburbs where **“flood and low lying land”** was approved as developable land.

In conclusions I would like to thank you for taking the time to review my submission to remove the proposal of rezoning majority of the property as Environment and Recreation, by pushing back the zone to take up less private land in addition to the lack of answers from the WCAA as to what will happen to the property and the rates the council will charge following a change to the zoning.

Yours sincerely,

.....

Rosemary Massa